



Byelaw & Permitting Sub- Committee Meeting

Minutes from 16th May
2019

Version Control and Drafting

Date	Comments
30 th May 2019	1 st Draft of minutes completed for circulation to officer's present at the meeting for potential internal amendment and or additions
14 th June 2019	Amendments made as highlighted at the meeting to finalise the minutes

Key Contents

Item:	Topic:	Page:
3	Officer actions from last meeting	P4
4.	Diving Permit Conditions – Three-Year Review	P5
5	Potting Consultation (bait restriction)	P7
6	Managing Hand Working Fishing Activity – Bait Digging	P8
7	Exemptions Byelaw	P10
8.	Issues relating to the work of the B&PSC	P11
9.	Update on the management of spiny lobster	P11

Minutes of the Byelaw and Permitting Sub Committee Meeting
Held on 16th May 2019 at Larkbeare House, Exeter

Present: Professor Mike Williams (Chair)

Stephen Gledhill
Andrew Knights
Cllr Hawkins

Jon Dornom
David Cuthbert
Rachel Irish

Dave Saunders
James Marsden
David Morgan

Cllr Hellyer
Simon Toms

Also Present: ACO Mander, DCO Clark, PPO Townsend.

Apologies: Jim Portus, Richard White and John May

Introduction

The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting and thanked members for their attendance. It was noted that, due to other commitments, Cllr Hawkins and Cllr Hellyer would be leaving the meeting before its conclusion, however it was confirmed that enough members would remain for the meeting to be quorate throughout. James Marsden praised officers for the production and consistent high quality of the papers for the B&PSC meetings which was echoed by the other members. The Chair highlighted a published news article that condemned the IFCAs and their management of inshore fisheries. The Chair also highlighted that due to inaccuracies in the original article, a response was prepared that included an invitation for the journalist to visit D&S IFCA. The D&S IFCA response was shown to members on a projection screen.

Agenda Item 1 To consider and approve minutes of the Byelaw and Permitting Sub-Committee meeting held on 26th February 2019. (Circulated by email)

The Chair invited members to raise any issues resulting from the draft minutes taken at this meeting. The minutes were examined page by page. David Morgan and Jon Dornom highlighted some errors and suggested some amendments on pages 5, 8 and 9 which were noted. A recommendation was formulated.

That the minutes (as amended) provide a true and accurate record.

Proposed: James Marsden

Seconded: Andrew Knights

All in favour

.....

Agenda Item 2 Business Arising

The Chair asked Rachel Irish for an update regarding the content of Marine Licences issued to the Teign Harbour Authority with reference to plough dredging and bed levelling. Rachel Irish was able to inform members that the licence included use of a grab hopper and a plough dredge for maintaining a navigational channel within the Teign Estuary. Questions were asked by DCO Clark and James Marsden regarding the definition of a navigational channel and if this included the Salty within the Teign Estuary. DCO Clark also informed members that a bulldozer had also been observed in the past levelling areas on the Salty. Jon Dornom explained that this type of working within Salcombe had been clearly defined and the apparent lack of clarity regarding works in the Teign was a concern. Other members agreed, and it was suggested that DCO Clark formulate an email on behalf of D&S IFCA to Rachel Irish of the Marine Management Organisation, so these concerns can be investigated further. James Marsden suggested that any such email be divided into two key sections which was noted as a new action.

New Action (from 16th May 2019)

1	DCO Clark	To prepare and send an email to Rachel Irish that will include: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• A request for clarity regarding the content of any licences issued for the works within the Teign Estuary.• To highlight that the already issued licence may be suitable for a review.
----------	------------------	--

.....

Agenda Item 3 To discuss the officer actions resulting from the last meeting (26th February 2018)

The Chair suggested that members examine the list of actions that had been circulated in preparation for the meeting and invited officers to provide a verbal update on each item. DCO Clark began by providing an update for the 1st action.

Action (from 26th February 2019)

1	DCO Clark	To contact the Salmon farm, who are supplied by the wrasse fishers in Devon, to get an update on their position on the rearing of wrasse, for use in the farms, and how long they envisage taking wild wrasse from D&S IFCA's waters.
----------	------------------	--

DCO Clark explained the nature of email correspondence that had been conducted, with the findings also circulated to members. Members commented that in their view the response from the Salmon Farms was cursory in its nature and acknowledged that there was the possibility that the Salmon Farm in question has a lack of understanding regarding D&S IFCA's management of the fishery and its need to gain the further information requested. DCO Clark informed members that the apparent frustration may have intensified following a decision by Cornwall IFCA to close a popular fishing area for live wrasse. Since the closure, it is known that at least one fisher has begun using rod and line to catch Ballan wrasse, which has been reaching a higher price at this time than is normally offered when the Live Wrasse Fishery opens. Members including Simon Toms raised their concern about the potential for the rod and line fishery to expand, and the sizes that can or could be taken by this alternative fishing activity. DCO Clark confirmed that at this time D&S IFCA does not have a management mechanism (Byelaw) in place to manage this alternative activity although the current voluntary code of practice (Policy & Guidance) could be used as the basis for a best practice guide for a rod and line fishery. ACO Mander commented that there has always been the potential for an expansion of a rod and line fishery, when demand is high and supply to the farms is relatively low. ACO Mander explained that any increase in activity can be monitored and the use of an Emergency Byelaw, although not the preferred option, remains one option that can be used if required. Simon Toms asked further questions about the difference in quality of the fish caught by differing methods and DCO Clark reported that the opportunity exists for onboard surveys to help determine this. David Cuthbert stated that it is now clear that the requirement for a supply of live wrasse is not a short-term issue and felt it was important that members recognise that the defensive position and potentially un-cooperative stance taken in the correspondence was not a reflection on the fishers. Stephen Gledhill and James Marsden suggested that it was important that D&S IFCA uses communications to re-state the current position regarding the plan to conduct a comprehensive review at the end of this fishing season using all the research data collected to date. James Marsden also stated that the completed research work should be published and subjected to a peer review. The Chair summarised the discussions as follows:

1. It is prudent to assume that this is a longer-term fishery plan and should be managed accordingly.
2. That the B&PSC monitor any diversity from fishers towards rod and line with a view to limiting its uncontrolled expansion.
3. For a robust reply to the Salmon Farm to be prepared and sent, that clarifies the authority and powers of D&S IFCA used for its management of the activity.

The Chair made an offer to prepare a response, however after further discussions members concluded that DCO Clark was well positioned to complete this task and this was recorded as a new action.

New Resulting Action (from 16th May 2019)

2	DCO Clark	To prepare and send a robust response to the Salmon Farm
----------	------------------	---

The Chair then invited an update on the remaining actions.

Action (from 26th February 2019)

2	D&S IFCA Officers	To inform a wide audience about the intention to conduct a comprehensive review based on the same research parameters being in place during 2019.
----------	------------------------------	--

PPO Townsend confirmed that a news item had been prepared and posted on the D&S IFCA website.

Action (from 26th February 2019)

3	DCO Clark	To look at the evidence collected so far from the research undertaken on the impacts of crab tiling on the estuaries and crab numbers and provide an update/amendment to the Supplement Report (Crab Tiles)
----------	------------------	--

DCO Clark reported that this action was complete, and the Supplement Report for Crab Tiles had been amended, posted on different areas of the D&S IFCA website, and re-circulated to members.

Agenda Item 4 To consider the findings of the diving consultation as documented in the supplementary report (23rd April 2019) and the implementation of the proposed diving permit conditions

PPO Townsend introduced this item by highlighting the content of the supplementary report that had been prepared. PPO Townsend explained that the report detailed how the consultation had been undertaken on a series of focussed items that had been selected by the B&PSC. James Marsden commented that in his view, the use of Mail Chimp to notify stakeholders, set out the rationale for potential changes and circulate the consultation items was superb. PPO Townsend informed members that the summary of response within the supplement report had been separated into different sections to reflect the responses received from those stakeholders who engaged in the process. The proposal to amend the number of scallops that can be taken each calendar day by a recreational diver generated the largest response, and PPO Townsend explained that tables had been used within the report to help summarise the observations and comments of stakeholders. The Chair suggested that, due to the relatively large response associated with the scallop bag limit, it was advantageous to begin the discussions on this item (Agenda Item 4g) before returning to the other consultation items. Members agreed and ACO Mander continued with the presentation of the findings.

ACO Mander highlighted the summary table on pages 7 and 8, which demonstrated a desire by many to see the daily bag limit increased from 15 scallops per day to a limit of around 25 scallops per day.

Stephen Gledhill urged members to consider the impact of scallop removal with the aim of achieving the correct balance. Whilst recognising the potential increase in pressure on scallop stocks associated with an increased bag limit, Andrew Knights reminded members that the original limits had the aim to provide enough scallops for a scallop meal for a family. When balancing conservation drivers and the needs of the user, Andrew Knights concluded that a relatively small increase in the bag limit was not an unreasonable position to take. DCO Clark added that Cefas are conducting scallop stock assessment work on a national level which may better inform the Authority in the future. James Marsden commented that the MPA network assists with stock sustainability, by removing mobile fishing activity (scallop dredgers) from those sites and therefore these areas will often be favoured by recreational divers that may choose to take scallops from these areas. Andrew Knights confirmed that scallops are not a feature of the MPA sites, rather it is the seabed (sand) and any slight increase in scallop take by recreational divers that would not adversely impact on the sediment. David Cuthbert continued the debate by highlighting that balance is also needed between recreational divers as compared to commercial divers and questioned if any increase for a recreational bag limit would be viewed negatively by this sector. ACO Mander commented that the consultation had been open to all stakeholders, including commercial divers, and the findings of the consultation did not suggest large opposition to an increase. James Marsden raised some concern that an increased level of scallops for the bag limit may have an unintended consequence and result in more divers potentially exceeding their daily bag limit with a view to sell the excess. ACO Mander responded by explaining that an increased bag limit, for personal consumption only) was still enforceable and as D&S IFCA enforcement work is intelligence led, was confident that breaches would be reported to officers that can then be addressed if required via enforcement planning and targeted work. The Chair summarised the conversations and a proposal formulated as follows:

Scallop Bag Limit

That the number of scallops that can be taken by a recreational diver (Category Two Permit) per calendar day be increased to 25.

***Proposed: Cllr Hellyer Seconded: David Cuthbert
All in favour***

The Chair now prompted members to continue discussion on the remaining diving consultation items set out on the agenda (4a to 4f). ACO Mander guided the members through the relevant sections of the supplement report. David Morgan commented that the wording used for each agenda item was not exactly the same as the wording that would appear within any amended Diving Permit Conditions. This was evident with the proposal regarding the proposed prohibition on the removal of spiny lobster from Tranche 2 Marine Protected Zones. PPO Townsend confirmed that this was the case, however the Call for Information (Mail Chimp) campaign had included not only the rationale for the proposed changes, but also the proposed wording that would feature in the permits if they were amended as had been proposed. PPO Townsend explained that the proposal (4 (c) on the agenda) had been set out in the consultation with reference to the Bideford to Foreland Point MCZ. Members asked that the proposals be noted in slightly more detail to closer reflect the proposals as set out in the consultation, rather than as described on the agenda. PPO Townsend noted this request. Having studied the responses received to the remaining consultation items the members voted on each of them in turn as follows:

Protection of Spiny Lobster

That the Diving Permit Conditions be amended as proposed in the consultation to prohibit the removal of spiny lobster that has recently cast its shell.

Proposed: Jon Dornom Seconded: David Morgan

All in favour

That the Diving Permit Conditions be amended as proposed in the consultation to prohibit the removal of any parts of spiny lobster which is detached from the carapace

***Proposed: Jon Dornom Seconded: Stephen Gledhill
All in favour***

That the Diving Permit Conditions be amended as proposed in the consultation to prohibit the removal of spiny lobster from the Bideford to Foreland Point MCZ

***Proposed: Cllr Hellyer Seconded: Andrew Knights
All in favour***

Spatial Restrictions

That the Diving Permit Conditions be amended as proposed in the consultation to prohibit the removal of any edible crab, spider crab, lobster, spiny lobster or scallop from the Lundy No Take Zone.

***Proposed: Cllr Hellyer Seconded: David Morgan
All in favour***

That the Diving Permit Conditions be amended as proposed in the consultation to prohibit the use of any container or any other device to store any edible crab, spider crab, lobster, spiny lobster or scallop from the Knoll Pins area at Lundy Island.

***Proposed: Cllr Hellyer Seconded: Rachel Irish
All in favour***

Other Conditions

That the Diving Permit Conditions be amended as proposed in the consultation to replace a reliance on the deeming clause.

***Proposed: Rachel Irish Seconded: Stephen Gledhill
All in favour***

.....

Agenda Item 5 To consider the findings of the potting consultation as documented in the supplementary report (23rd April 2019)

ACO Mander introduced this agenda item and explained that the single consultation item was focussed on a proposal to remove a potting permit condition (2.5.3). ACO Mander explained that the consultation had highlighted the rationale for the removal of this single permit condition which related to the use of finfish as bait which currently must be above the Minimum Conservation Reference Size. This permit condition had been considered suitable for removal by the B&PSC as it did not harmonise with the 2019 Landing Obligations and the supplement report had been prepared to summarise the limited number of responses. Members recognised the responses received in the consultation and formulated a proposal.

That the Potting Permit Conditions 2.5.3 which currently prohibits the use of finfish as bait unless it meets sizes set out in Annex XII, Article 17 of Council Regulation (EC) 850/98 be removed from the Potting Permit Conditions.

***Proposed: Jon Dornom Seconded: Cllr Hellyer
All in favour***

At this point Cllr Hawkins had to leave the meeting.

.....

Agenda Item 6 Managing Hand Working Fishing Activities

The Chair highlighted that this agenda item included two discussion items as follows:

- a) *To discuss the information and evidence relating to bait digging as set out in the supplementary report – Managing Hand Working Fishing Activity – A focus on Bait Digging (May 2019)*
- b) *To establish an initial position for the management of bait digging (and potentially crab tiles) which can be re-visited during an “option for management” phase later in 2019*

DCO Clark guided members through the different sections of the supplement report which included the assembled D&S IFCA evidence base, formal advice from Natural England and a summary of response from a Call for Information communication campaign that had been recently completed. DCO Clark also highlighted that a previous report relating to crab tiles (presented to the B&PSC in February 2019) had been updated with additional information and circulated in electronic format to members. DCO Clark thanked Andrew Knights and other staff at Natural England for their contribution to both reports and the speedy response submitting the formal advice to a large number of the Marine Protected Areas (MPA) Assessments that had been undertaken. DCO Clark highlighted the summary tables of all the MPA assessments which also included hyperlinks to individual assessments and the formal advice received that related to them.

Members asked various questions about the content of the supplement report, including Cllr Hellyer who focussed on the Taw Torridge area. DCO Clark reported that current management is limited to the use of voluntary codes, but it is difficult to assess their effectiveness and the scale of awareness for any such codes of conduct. Andrew Knights confirmed to other members that from Natural England's view point the issue of a lack of back filling and the risks to areas of seagrass are of most concern and due to this, Natural England would be supportive of a potential Hand Working Byelaw. The Chair commented that there would be a need for members to discuss and consider if this is an activity that D&S IFCA should manage via regulation and if so, how best to formulate any such regulation as different options would exist. The Chair invited other members to provide their observations relating to the information assembled to date. David Cuthbert and David Morgan recognised the historical practice of bait digging and based on their knowledge highlighted that the activity is likely to be conducted on a rotational basis. They also concluded that the activity would be difficult for D&S IFCA to manage in a similar way to other fishing activities with further use of voluntary codes a possible solution that would be less demanding on the Authority's limited resources. Jon Dornom posed a question regarding removal of bait from Salcombe which is a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). Andrew Knights was able to answer due to his knowledge of Section 28 consents and the responsibilities of the land owner to contact Natural England. DCO Clark explained that the majority of estuaries in the D&S IFCA's District have a designation with the Exe, Plymouth Estuaries and the Taw Torridge all being SSSI and D&S IFCA (with advice and support of Natural England) is effectively the lead organisation in applying suitable management of activities conducted in these areas. Stephen Gledhill recognised the limitations associated with the use of voluntary codes but suggested that the creation of additional voluntary measures coupled with clear messages that non-compliance could lead to regulation may have more influence. David Morgan and David Saunders highlighted ways to potentially make codes of practice more effective such as the placement of notices, and flyers circulated to tackle shops. DCO Clark explained that traditionally the use of voluntary

codes (for a range of activities) have had limited success and a far higher profile would be needed to change the practices of some fishers. The use of notices is not without its complications; however, the circulation of flyers to tackle shops would be easier to achieve. DCO Clark summarised that the use of voluntary codes, in a similar way to the introduction of regulation, would still be a drain on resources. Complications also exist with codes focussed on the protection of sea grass as they would extend to other fishing methods and it is the interaction with the beds that is a concern rather than any particular fishing method.

Lunch Break

At this point Cllr Hellyer had to leave the meeting.

After lunch the discussions on managing bait digging continued. The Chair summarised some of the key discussion points raised by members as follows:

- There is generally a lack of appetite for regulation due to factors including a lack of resource for D&S IFCA
- Continued monitoring is desirable
- Improved codes of conduct coupled with improved communication may be advantageous
- Concerns associated with sea grass protection has been highlighted and noted
- Concerns associated with this activity in the Hinkley Point area have been highlighted and noted
- Spatial management in defined areas are potentially more suited to reflect the risks to sea grass rather than regulating the whole activity of bait digging

Members were satisfied with the bullet points noted and James Marsden commented that a feature-based approach focussed on spatial control and the intensity of activity would appear to be a logical position to take. The Chair commented that although bait digging is a component of the review of all Hand working Fishing activities, management could be considered in due course to be applied only to features or problem areas. Other members including Andrew Knights, agreed that in this instance the use of a Permit Based Byelaw for this (and potentially other Hand Working Fishing Activities) may not be the best approach to take when options for management are discussed in more depth later in 2019.

The Chair highlighted that further discussions would also be needed to consider how best to manage crab tiles, and whilst those discussions would not be completed at this meeting, acknowledged that all members had access to a revised crab tile supplement report that can be referred to when needed. Without conducting a formal vote, the Chair wanted a series of summary points noted as follows:

- It is not envisaged that bait digging (generally) needs regulation at this time
- D&S IFCA and the B&PSC will continue to monitor the activity
- The use of existing and new voluntary codes can be explored further
- Consideration can be applied to how to improve communication and awareness of voluntary codes
- The Byelaw Technical Working Group (BTWG) can examine different options on behalf of the B&PSC for the formation of regulation with focus on the protection of sea grass and to address other issues associated with problematic spatial areas

Members agreed with the bullet points which were recorded as the initial position taken by the B&PSC at this time.

.....

Agenda Item 7 The Exemptions Byelaw

ACO Mander introduced this item and explained that members were tasked with approving the making of this Byelaw (Agenda item 7a) and also asked to consider the approval of the Impact Assessment that would accompany the Byelaw during a period of formal consultation (Agenda item 7b).

ACO Mander explained that the previous draft presented to the B&PSC, within an update report of the Byelaw Technical working Group (BTWG), included a reference to the charging of fees for exemptions with the potential cost linked to a payment schedule. Having considered various complications and logistical issues associated with charging a fee such as when to take payment and how to take payment and when to waive a fee, it was the view of officers that members discuss the removal of this provision. If members agreed to amend the draft Exemptions Byelaw this would alter the content of the Impact Assessment and therefore its approval at this meeting would not be possible.

For the benefit of members who were not at the last meeting, PPO Townsend prompted ACO Mander to provide a bit more background information about the purpose of this Byelaw and why it had been constructed. The Chair, who assisted in the development of it, then continued the explanation. Simon Toms asked if the Exemptions Byelaw would apply to other Statutory Authorities. DCO Clark confirmed that it would and, as an example, referred to the dispensations that have previously been issued to Cefas.

Moving back to the issue of fees, Andrew Knights commented that the provision to charge a fee was to give the Authority the ability to offset its costs assessing the different applications for exemptions. As these could vary in their complexity, more time or less time could be potentially be spent by officers assessing each application on a case by case basis. Andrew Knights stated that he was comfortable for the emphasis to be switched away from potential charging in favour of a provision to require the potential applicant to assemble more of the information that would be needed by D&S IFCA, so the application could be considered. Rachel Irish agreed that this change would result in a time saving to D&S IFCA, which still amounts to a cost saving in terms of staff hours. Rachel Irish also informed members that based on her observations associated with charging by the Marine Management Organisation, this would be a better approach for D&S IFCA to take. The question was then raised of what replacement wording could be inserted and the Chair informed members that the BTWG could complete a final draft to incorporate this change if agreed. A proposal was formulated as follows:

To remove all charges from the Exemptions Byelaw

***Proposed: Rachel Irish Seconded: David Cuthbert
All in favour***

The Chair informed the members that the BTWG would conduct the re-drafting work and present the completed work to all members at the earliest opportunity. ACO Mander advised members that the next meeting of the Full Authority may be the most suitable time for members to examine the revised draft Exemptions Byelaw.

.....

Agenda Item 8 To discuss the issues relating to the work of the B&PSC as set out in the minutes of the Full Authority meeting held on 14th December 2018

Members discussed each item (a to h) as set out on the agenda. It was noted that the attendance at meetings had improved and other Authority members were welcome to join the B&PSC. Due to the length of the meetings, other commitments of the Councillors, and travel complications; having meetings organised for evenings was not favoured by members.

Slight concern was raised regarding exceptional difficulties associated with Byelaw working, including a lack of time or opportunity to conduct all the required working within the scheduled meeting dates. The possibility that some issues could be returned to the Full Authority was raised but it was agreed that in exceptional circumstances an alternative to using the platform of a Full Authority meeting could be found. Although four meetings of the B&PSC are arranged in advance, there was opportunity for additional meetings to be arranged and therefore no changes were needed at this time to this element of the Terms of Reference.

Regarding numbers needed to be quorate, members acknowledged that having sufficient numbers to represent a good balance of members was important and having too many members present could cause difficulties associated with physical space for the meetings and limit the opportunity for all members to join in a debate. The present membership (when filled), coupled with the requirement for eight members to be present to be quorate was favoured.

Teleconferencing for single issues (Agenda Item 8g) was not seen as appropriate for decision making and ACO Mander confirmed that the meetings should be open for the public to attend. ACO Mander finished the discussions (Agenda Item 8h) by confirming that the meetings are arranged well in advance and efforts have been made to confirm availability as early as possible by increased use of doodle polls by the officers tasked with arranging the meetings.

.....
Agenda Item 9 An update on the Management of Spiny Lobster

DCO Clark introduced this item and explained that she had circulated an officer paper on the subject matter and would also conduct a Power Point presentation. Members watched the presentation that included information about the species and DCO Clark was able to explain not only what is known, but also highlight the many unknowns that are associated with the ecology, biology, landings data and distribution of spiny lobsters. The presentation also informed members about the Crawfish Research and Management Workshop which was held on the 11th April and to inform members about the work of D&S IFCA to date regarding this species.

Jon Dornom informed members that over 100 spiny lobsters below the minimum conservation reference size are being returned daily from fishers netting in Cornwall. In addition, the statistics he has seen indicate that huge numbers are coming up the channel, which would indicate that there is a larval distribution factor. James Marsden commented that the research to date would indicate that a maximum size restriction would be of benefit for future management. Jon Dornom explained that at this time, there are not many large specimens being caught but further protection for the next two, three of five years would increase the breeding stock and that action is needed in the short term.

Jon Dornom and David Cuthbert commented that in their view the methods of netting and diving are more effective at catching spiny lobster than potting and this should be considered by members when discussing future management. DCO Clark reported that the research is continuing, and additional information will assist members in their decision making. James Marsden suggested that a clearer timeline be created to plan, with emphasis on collection of information & evidence, to consider and to introduce. Regarding potential introduction of management measures, David Cuthbert suggested that a catch limit is more likely to be the

most effective approach. PPO Townsend reported that from a timeline perspective, there are several reviews of Byelaws and Permit Conditions that will all be subjected to reviews soon. The Mobile Fishing Byelaw is due for a review later this year, the Diving Permit Byelaw is due for a review in 2020 and the other permit conditions (Potting and Netting) can be reviewed when needed but there is a considerable amount work associated with any of these reviews.

The Chair and other members thanked DCO Clark for the presentation. Members agreed that the presentation should be made available on the D&S IFCA website as it helps to demonstrate the work being undertaken by D&S IFCA.

New Action (from 16th May 2019)

3	PPO Townsend	To add the presentation on spiny lobsters to the D&S IFCA website
----------	---------------------	--

.....

Agenda Item 10 Any other business

DCO Clark updated the members about on-going work associated with the development and placement of a sea weed farm in Start Bay, South Devon. DCO Clark informed members that another response had been submitted as the intended site of the farm was not considered suitable due to potential conflict with other fishers. An alternative site had been suggested within Torbay, however there was some apparent confusion regarding some charts that had been received by the developer of the sea weed farm. DCO Clark explained that she would continue to keep members informed.

ACO Mander updated members about the Cornwall IFCA judicial review.

ACO Mander explained to members that there is an intention to review the expenses for members who have been asked to attend meetings on behalf of D&S IFCA.

.....

Agenda Item 11 Date of Next Meeting

Meeting dates have been set as follows and will be held at Larkbeare House, Exeter unless re-arranged.

- Thursday 15th August 2019
- Thursday 14th November 2019

Note:

*Since the meeting and due to a requirement to discuss and potentially approve the Exemptions Byelaw and the accompanying Impact Assessment an interim meeting of the B&PSC has been arranged for Thursday **13th June 2019.***

This meeting on 13th June will be held on the day of the pre-arranged Full Authority Meeting.

End.