



Byelaw & Permitting Sub- Committee Meeting

Minutes from 26th February
2019

Version Control and Drafting

Date	Comments
11/3/19	1 st Draft of minutes completed for circulation to officer's present at the meeting for potential internal amendment and or additions
21/3/19	Minor internal editing and additions complete & the draft minutes circulated to members
2/4/19	Amendments suggested by Rachel Irish completed.
16/5/19	Requested amendments highlighted at the B&PSC Meeting by David Morgan and Jon Dornom on pages 5, 8 and 9.
28/5/19	Amendments made to finalise the minutes

Key Contents

Item: Topic:

- 4 Officer actions from last meeting
- 5 Formal Review of Live Wrasse Fishery
- 6 Recommendations resulting from a non-quorate meeting in Nov 2018
- 7 Managing Hand Working Fishing Activity – Crab Tiles
- 8 Update from the Byelaw Technical Working Group

Minutes of the Byelaw and Permitting Sub Committee Meeting
Held on 26th February 2019 at Brixham Laboratory, Freshwater Quarry, Brixham

Present: Professor Mike Williams (Chair)

Richard White	Jon Dornom	Jim Portus
Andrew Knights	David Cuthbert	James Marsden
Cllr Hawkins	Rachel Irish	David Morgan

Also Present: ACO Mander, DCO Clark, PPO Townsend.

Apologies: Stephen Gledhill, Simon Toms, Cllr Hellyer, Dave Saunders, John May

Introduction

The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting including new member Jon Dornom and existing member Councillor Hawkins who was attending his first Byelaw & Permitting Sub-Committee (B&PSC) meeting. The Chair explained that some items on the agenda resulted from the previous B&PSC meeting in November 2018 that was non-quorate and as a result further consideration of those issues and voting by members were needed to resolve them. Regarding the approval of previous minutes for accuracy, the members not present at those meetings recognised a need to abstain in the voting.

Agenda Item 1 To consider and approve minutes of the Byelaw and Permitting Sub-Committee meeting held on 11th October 2018. (Circulated by email)

The Chair invited members to raise any issues resulting from the draft minutes taken at this meeting. The minutes were examined page by page. No amendments were highlighted. A recommendation was formulated as follows:

That the minutes provide a true and accurate record.

Proposed: Andrew Knights Seconded: David Cuthbert

For 6
Against 0
Abstentions 4

Agenda Item 2 To consider and approve minutes of the Byelaw and Permitting Sub-Committee meeting held on 20th November 2018. (Circulated by email)

The Chair invited members to raise any issues resulting from the draft minutes taken at this meeting. The minutes were examined page by page. No amendments were highlighted. A recommendation was formulated as follows:

That the minutes provide a true and accurate record.

Proposed: David Morgan Seconded: David Cuthbert

For 6
Against 0
Abstentions 4

Agenda Item 3 Business Arising

ACO Mander informed members that a previous action was for him to report on the outcomes of Chief Officer Group (COG) meetings to members of the B&PSC. ACO Mander explained that the secretary of the COG takes minutes of those meetings. In future, this reporting action would be added to the quarterly reports as an information item to be presented at full Authority meetings rather than updating via an email summary. Members were satisfied with this approach.

Agenda Item 4 To discuss the officer actions resulting from the last meeting (20th November 2018)

The Chair suggested that members examine the list of actions that had been circulated in preparation for the meeting and invited officers to provide a verbal update on each item. ACO Mander began by providing an update for the 1st action and was joined by DCO Clark who also added some explanation.

Action (from 20th November 2018)

1	D&S IFCA Officers	Soft Shell Species: To summarise the key aspects of the relevant legislation and use it in communications work intended to raise awareness to the industry of the legal requirements regarding soft shell species.
----------	------------------------------	---

ACO Mander reported that the action had not been completed but it was still possible for all commercial potting permit holders to be directly notified. ACO Mander asked the members for their views on the potential development of a wider circulation contact list. David Morgan proposed that a wide circular would be advantageous and most certainly should include wholesalers and processors. David Morgan and David Cuthbert informed the group that these initial purchasers of the product have a key part to play in reducing the quantities of soft-shell species that get landed as rejection of it at source would act as a deterrent to its original landing. Jim Portus also commented that as this is a national issue and extends beyond borders, the involvement of the Crustacea Committee would also be advantageous. DCO Clark explained that she attends the Crustacea Committee meetings and would liaise with David Jarrad, the Director of the Shellfish Association of Great Britain (SAGB). ACO Mander suggested that an initial circulation list could be created by Officers with the expectation that the industry could assist in developing it further. Members agreed that this would be a good approach to take. Jon Dornom commented that in addition to a reminder of the legal requirements associated with this issue, the Marine Management Organisation (MMO) could potentially conduct additional inspections, possibly assisted by D&S IFCA enforcement officers. Whist recognising the limitations on the MMO due to relatively low numbers of enforcement officers to conduct the inspection work, he also took the view that more frequent and random inspections would increase the deterrent factor. Rachel Irish responded by explaining that a proportion of the MMO inspections are randomised; however, the majority must be intelligence led. Rachel Irish stated that fishers are encouraged to report illegal or suspicious activity which can then feed into intelligence systems, that include D&S IFCA, and this intelligence is then used in the subsequent planning of targeted enforcement operations. James Marsden felt that it was reassuring to hear that fishers are requesting additional inspections and urged industry representative that are members of the B&PSC to be pro-active in getting others to report issues through the reporting channels open to them.

Action (from 20th November 2018)

2	DCO Clark	Spiny Lobster: To prepare a paper for the Sub-Committee focussing on what is known about the biology and life history of the species and where the gaps in knowledge lie.
----------	------------------	--

DCO Clark reported that the paper was not complete, however time had been spent planning the approach to the task which ideally would involve partnership working with others such as Cornwall IFCA, The Marine Conservation Society, Sea Search and Plymouth and Exeter Universities. A collaboration approach would bring together various research and potentially assist with future management and may include the results of sampling and determine if there are different population issues in different areas. The Chair encouraged

that efforts be continued with the outcome being that a crash in stocks can be avoided. Both David Morgan and Jon Dornom were also encouraged by the work to date, however they raised concern over the time frame to complete the work before management could potentially be introduced. Both reported that catches of spiny lobster close to 110mm are being caught more frequently inshore and vessels working 20 miles offshore are catching them daily. The largest catches are typically from those operating nets. In the fishers' experience, the value of spiny lobster meat is consistent no matter what the weight. i.e. a 1kg spiny lobster will have a value of say £20 and a 3kg spiny lobster will be worth £60. This is different for European lobsters, where very large European lobsters decrease in value per kg compared to smaller landable-sized European lobsters. Fishers recognise that the value of spiny lobster meat does not devalue as the animal gets older/larger. Therefore, there is an incentive to allow spiny lobsters to get to a larger size before being removed and landed, as they will be of more value to the fishers. With this in mind, the fishers' view is that the current Minimum Conservation Reference Size (MCRS) of 110mm could be increased by 20mm or 30mm. DCO Clark added that the introduction of a maximum size for spiny lobster, in particular males, could also be an important measure to introduce in the future.

DCO Clark went on to report that the collection of landing data from vessels is a key part of the research and requests for landing data have been made to the MMO. In addition, getting fishers to be more pro-active submitting the data that is beneficial would help with a potential alternative to use the provision in the Byelaws to request the data needed. David Cuthbert explained that as this is primarily an export market, data from the viviers would be beneficial. James Marsden voiced some concern over the D&S IFCA's ability to take on too much of the research burden due to its limited resources. As this is a wide spread issue of National importance, he felt that although D&S IFCA can take the lead in this work it would potentially be appropriate for the MMO and Defra to strongly contribute. The Chair summarised some key points. All members were in favour of increased engagement but felt it was important not to lose sight of the time issue and to be prepared to be precautionary in its approach if required. ACO Mander added that the engagement work including meetings about this issue may also prompt D&S IFCA permit holders to try and trigger a review of permit conditions if they feel progress is in their view is too slow. Members said they would look forward to an update in May 2019.

Action (from 20th November 2018)

3	DCO Clark	DCO Clark to undertake correspondence with all relevant contacts relevant to a request from stakeholder to review spatial access for mobile fishing vessels near Plymouth.
----------	------------------	---

DCO Clark reported that this action was completed.

Action (from 20th November 2018)

4	PPO Townsend	To conduct a further doodle poll to arrange a suitable date for the February B&PSC Meeting – including options for the beginning and end of February 2019.
----------	---------------------	---

PPO Townsend reported that this action was completed.

Agenda Item 5 The Formal Review of the Live Wrasse Pot Fishery

Discussions relating to the further evidence that was provided for the meeting

Members examined the Addendum to the “Live Wrasse Fishery in the Devon and Severn IFCA 2018 Research Report” that had been prepared by Environment Officers Sarah Curtin and Libby West. DCO Clark provided an explanation as to how the report had been assembled and how the Environmental Officers had utilised the High-Resolution R Statistical training that had been recently undertaken. DCO Clark highlighted to members that there were some minor errors within the report and referred to Figures 32 and 33. DCO Clark explained to members that these minor errors did not impact on the conclusions set out in the Addendum Report. DCO Clark also explained that the further data analysis did raise some discrepancies as compared to the overarching report that was discussed in November 2018, but nothing to significantly change the conclusions reached by the members in November 2018 regarding the management of the activity. James Marsden commended both the original report and the information presented in the Addendum. James Marsden also asked some questions regarding other factors including the survivability rates of returned wrasse and extended research including the use of tags. DCO Clark informed members that other research is taking place as set out in the officer paper discussed in November 2018 and stressed the importance of data sharing and not duplicating other work unnecessarily. DCO Clark also made members aware about the limitations of the D&S IFCA’s research work as compared to research relating to the removal of wrasse and its effect on the whole ecosystem. The latter would be more suited to a PhD student. James Marsden was content with the answers provided and felt that the continuing research work was vital. DCO Clark informed members that requests have been received to extend the voluntary areas where the activity is undertaken with areas proposed reaching as far as Salcombe. Members raised some concern about the requests and Richard White reminded other members that the fishery was originally only expected to last about two years. Richard White, Andrew Knights and James Marsden also informed the group about the need to having stable parameters to make year on year research data comparison more usable. The Chair commented that the original expectation of a two-year fishery has not been the case, adding to the need to continue carefully managing the fishery, with the voluntary closed areas limiting the activity forming an important part of that management. David Cuthbert questioned the rationale behind the requests for an extended area as several of the boats were very small and possibly couldn’t travel too far beyond Stoke Point and only the largest vessel would potentially benefit from an extension. Jon Dornom added to the discussion by explaining how the sea conditions within Bigbury Bay coupled with the lightweight gear would hamper the smaller vessels from expanding the fishery even if it was considered appropriate.

Members acknowledged that the fishery is currently well managed with a combination of permit conditions such as pot limitation and the present voluntary agreement; however, they felt it was important for fishers to be fully aware that breach of the voluntary measures could result in another review of management being triggered which could end the opportunity to continue fishing. DCO Clark explained that she could contact the Salmon farm, that had suggested an extension to the areas and Richard White felt this was important to record in the minutes as an action.

New Action

1	DCO Clark	To contact the Salmon farm, who are supplied by the wrasse fishers in Devon, to get an update on their position on the rearing of wrasse, for use in the farms, and how long they envisage taking wild wrasse from D&S IFCA’s waters.
---	-----------	--

The Chair summarised the discussions and felt it was appropriate to list key points and subject them to a vote. Members formulated the following proposal:

Proposal 1

That the B&PSC endorse the findings of the D&S IFCA Live Wrasse Fishery Research data presented to date and action officers to conduct scientific monitoring for a third year.

Proposed: James Marsden Seconded: Richard White

All in favour

Members then discussed the existing management measures as set out in the Potting Permit Conditions and the associated Policy/Voluntary Guidance. Members again raised some concern about the risks of non-adherence to the voluntary measures. David Cuthbert took the view that the small number of fishers engaged in the fishery should be very familiar with the voluntary measures and will be aware of the risks associated with not adhering to them. Dave Cuthbert also pointed out that the failure of other voluntary measures (for other issues) is often due to large numbers of people conducting a fishing activity, and the Live Wrasse Fishery is a different scenario. Regarding his question, Andrew Knights was reassured by the response offered by ACO Mander that the opportunity exists to have an in-year review of the management measures for the Live Wrasse Fishery if there was the need to do so. Members felt it was important for fishers to be fully aware that a breach of the voluntary measures could result in another review of management being triggered which could end the opportunity to continue fishing. All members agreed with Andrew Knights that careful monitoring of the adherence to all management measures is of importance. A proposal was formulated as follows:

Proposal 2

That the existing management measures for the Live Wrasse Pot Fishery be maintained.

Proposed: James Marsden Seconded: Rachel Irish
All in favour

A third proposal was discussed relating to communications to a wider audience about the intentions of the B&PSC in actioning a third year of scientific monitoring and maintaining the existing management measures. Members wished to see a third year of monitoring to enable a comprehensive review to begin in November 2019, comparing all data collected in a three-year period, with a full report to be presented to the B&PSC in February 2020. A proposal was formulated, and the communication requirements noted as an action.

Proposal 3

The B&PSC declare that a comprehensive review of the Live Wrasse Fishery will be conducted to reflect the three years of data that will have been collected and collated.

Proposed: James Marsden Seconded: Andrew Knights
All in favour

New Action

2	D&S Officers	IFCA	To inform a wide audience about the intention to conduct a comprehensive review based on the same research parameters being in place during 2019.
----------	-----------------------------	-------------	--

Agenda Item 6 To vote on the recommendations resulting from the last meeting as set out in the officer paper

- a) The Formal Review of the Live Wrasse Fishery

Members concluded that this had now been addressed in Agenda Item 5 with the voting already recorded.

- b) The Status of Mussel Stocks within the Teign Estuary
c) The Status of Mussel Stocks within the Exe Esuary

The Chair suggested that the status of mussel stocks in both estuaries could be discussed as one item and members agreed. David Morgan and Jon Dornom raised concern about the past activities of Harbour Authorities in relation to the levelling of beds and the potential licences granted for this type of activity. The Chair stressed that it is likely that Harbour Authorities have exemptions from wider restrictions due to the requirements to maintain suitable navigation and moorings within defined areas. DCO Clark also added that the Teign is a busy port for large vessels due to the export of ball clay, which may also have a bearing. Rachel Irish informed members that she would endeavour to collate information about the content of Marine Licenses and report back to members to add some clarity. Both Mike Williams and James Marsden felt that this clarity may then put the Authority in a better position if there is a need to raise its concerns regarding the activities being conducted by Harbour Authorities. James Marsden also stressed the importance of future communications that should include the boat owners in these areas. DCO Clark said that improved communications with the Harbours is an on-going aim and at this time a temporary closure is the first step in trying to rejuvenate the public beds in both sites. A proposal was formulated as follows:

That a Temporary Closure is applied to the public mussel beds in both the Teign and Exe Estuaries as defined in the officer paper for Agenda Item 6.

Proposed: James Marsden Seconded: Jim Portus
All in favour

d) The Principles for Byelaw Review Work

As some new members were present, the Chair asked PPO Townsend to re-print the officer paper that had been originally discussed in 2018. The Chair suggested that members could study the content over lunch and with agreement of the other members this item was deferred until the afternoon session. After lunch Councillor Hawkins commented that he welcomed the fact that the principles are being reviewed and suggested that a more defined time for review may be beneficial. Members discussed this suggestion but concluded that it would be better to review the principles as required depending on the need to do so. Members formulated the following proposal:

That having reviewed the principles for Byelaw review work, the principles set out in the bullet point list in the previous officer paper be adopted for on-going work.

Proposed: David Morgan Seconded: James Marsden
All in favour

e) Terms of Reference for the Byelaw Technical Working Group (BTWG)

For the benefit of the new members, the Chair provided some background detail about the establishment of this sub-group to conduct technical elements of the byelaw working on behalf of all B&PSC members. The members studied the Terms of Reference as set out in the officer paper and formulated a proposal as follows:

That the Terms of reference for the Byelaw Technical Working Group (BTWG) are adopted.

Proposed: Richard White Seconded: Andrew Knights
All in favour

Agenda Item 7 Managing Hand Working Fishing Activities – Crab Tiles

Members referred to the Crab Tile Supplement Report (Feb 2019) and James Marsden thanked officers for its production. As an observation, rather than a criticism, James Marsden suggested that some additional background material such as key rationale for undertaking the review could have been included in the report. PPO Townsend welcomed the feedback and suggested that the next report that will focus on Bait Digging can be presented slightly differently but was hopeful that the overarching Planning Report (referred to and

hyperlinked within the Crab Tile Supplement Report) sets out some of the rationale in more detail to satisfy this requirement.

Members examined the content of the Supplement Report and recognised that from a conservation perspective the management of this activity was not of clear and immediate concern. Jon Dornom highlighted the responses within the report that raised concern over the removal of shore crab as a food source for fish. Both ACO Mander and DCO Clark commented that it is difficult to estimate the impacts associated with the removal of a food source that is attributed to this method of fishing and quantities of hard-shell crab would remain available as these are not targeted. Members were keen to assemble more data on the levels of effort and more conclusive data on the amount of crab being removed; however, PPO Townsend said that even with further Call for Information campaigns, the quality of the current information was not likely to improve greatly. DCO Clark suggested that she look at the evidence collected so far from the research undertaken on the impacts of crab tiling on the estuaries and crab numbers and provide an update to the Supplement Report (Crab Tiles) that can be re-presented and discussed once again at the next B&PSC meeting. This was noted as an action.

New Action

3	DCO Clark	To look at the evidence collected so far from the research undertaken on the impacts of crab tiling on the estuaries and crab numbers and provide an update/amendment to the Supplement Report (Crab Tiles)
----------	------------------	---

Discussions continued relating to other aspects of this method including the un-regulated nature of the activity. Members recognised the concern of stakeholders in relation to the territorial disputes that exist in some areas and the reports from some, that gangs are frequently operating in some estuaries. ACO Mander highlighted some of the byelaw guiding principles including encouraging legitimate activity and to remove if possible illegal, un-licensed and un-regulated activity. PPO Townsend also commented that other considerations such as balancing the needs of different users, was potentially a stronger consideration for on-going work and future discussions rather than the conservation driver. James Marsden commented that the other factors should be considered; however, did think that the resources of D&S IFCA and its ability to manage this activity in practice would also need further discussion. Due to some of the issues raised by stakeholders regarding the use of crab tiles, members felt that other organisations such as the HMRC could be incorporated into a partnership type of approach in the future.

Members recognised that the activity of Crab Tiles is one of three elements of Hand Working Fishing Activity and many of the issues already highlighted in the report may also be relevant to the other fishing activities being reviewed.

The Chair explained to members that establishing an initial position on if and how to manage this activity did not have to be decided at this time. Members agreed that when the second phase of this review (Bait Digging) is completed, they would be in a better position to establish a position for both methods regarding potential future management. Rather than establishing an initial position at this time, members felt it was appropriate to record their expectation that other organisations are included in any potential future management and formulated the following proposal:

That other organisations such as the Marine Management Organisation and the HMRC are included in a partnership approach for the potential future management of crab tiling.

Proposed: David Morgan Seconded: James Marsden

All in favour

Agenda Item 8

Update of the work completed by the Byelaw Technical Working Group (BTWG) as set out in the Byelaw Technical working Group Report (February 2019)

Exemptions Byelaw (item 8a & 8b)

ACO Mander introduced this item and thanked all the members of the BTWG that currently includes Professor Mike Williams, Richard White, Fred Howell and officers. DCO Mander explained that, subject to the approval of members, the Emergency Application Byelaw would be renamed the Exemptions Byelaw. ACO Mander gave an account of the numbers of dispensations/exemptions issued in recent times by D&S IFCA. He then guided members through the update report that contained some explanation of process and how and why the draft work had been assembled in the format being presented. Members acknowledged how the draft Exemptions Byelaw had been constructed to include a direct link to the relevant duties of the Authority as stated in the Marine and Coastal Access Act. ACO Mander explained that Professor Mike Williams, who had largely drafted the document, had concluded that this link to the duties provides maximum scope for the consideration to be applied when potentially granting and issuing the exemptions. Discussions moved on to the table of potential fees that would accompany the Byelaw. PPO Townsend explained that although the table of fees, if agreed, would accompany the Byelaw and be highlighted in the Impact Assessment that will be produced, it is not actually part of the Byelaw. Andrew Knights was reassured that the byelaw provision (12) is only that D&S IFCA “may” charge a fee and but not necessarily will charge a fee. Members agreed that the relaxation of a fee may be of benefit for students wishing to conduct scientific work. Members suggested that the heading of the table be amended to “Hours Assessing the Application” and was noted by PPO Townsend. ACO Mander explained to members that a time extension will be required to complete the process to implement the Byelaw and will be sought from the Secretary of State. ACO Mander also explained that the Byelaw will be re-presented to members in May 2019 with an accompanying Impact Assessment, so it can be “made” and subjected to formal consultation. Members then voted.

That the Exemptions Byelaw has been suitably drafted by the BTWG for on-going work and creation of an accompanying Impact Assessment.

***Proposed: James Marsden Seconded: David Cuthbert
All in favour***

Amended Diving Permit Conditions for Consultation (item 8c)

ACO Mander presented pages 7 to 11 of the BTWG Progress Report that set out the background considerations for re-drafting of the Diving Permit Conditions in preparation for a final consultation period. Members examined the draft Permit Conditions as set out in the report and did not raise any issues or have any questions. A vote was proposed as follows:

That the Diving Permit Conditions as drafted by the BTWG are subjected to a period of consultation.

***Proposed: Andrew Knights Seconded: David Morgan
All in favour***

Review of the Mobile Fishing Permit Byelaw (item 8d)

ACO Mander explained that as set out in the BTWG Progress Report, the review work would involve potential changes to the overarching Mobile Fishing Permit Byelaw and the Mobile Fishing Permit Conditions. ACO Mander explained that it was time efficient for the BTWG to amend both the Byelaw and the Permit Conditions as part of the same exercise in preparation for scrutiny by the B&PSC. ACO Mander explained that there was enough time remaining in 2019 to conduct the mandatory Five-Year Review, however due to the multiple considerations, including work associated with Tranche 3 MCZ, the recommendation of the BTWG is that this area of byelaw work is delayed in the short term. In response to James Marsden, ACO Mander said that the overall D&S IFCA Metrics tables will be updated to reflect the Tranche 3 designations. ACO Mander informed members that additional information relating to the review of mobile fishing would be presented at B&PSC meetings in due course. Members were satisfied with this approach and voted as follows:

That the work of the BTWG related to the Five-Year Review of the Mobile Fishing Permit Byelaw is delayed until more information is presented for consideration by the B&PSC.

Proposed: Rachel Irish
All in favour

Seconded: James Marsden

Potting Permit Conditions – Discussion on the potential removal of a permit condition (item 8e)

Members referred to pages 12 and 13 of the BTWG Progress Report. John Dornom explained that haddock was one of the species subjected to the landing obligation and the potential removal of the present potting condition 2.5.3 would benefit the industry. David Morgan also offered his support to the removal of this Potting Permit Condition. David Morgan pointed out that the waste resource is clearly separated on the market as a product that can be sold as bait and not for human consumption. In his view it made sense to potentially remove the present permit condition as this would enable this waste product to be purchased and used as bait, therefore making better use of it locally. Other members agreed and voted as follows:

That present paragraph 2.5.3 is subjected to consultation with a view to its removal from the Potting Permit Conditions.

Proposed: Jon Dornom
All in favour

Seconded: David Morgan

Agenda Item 9 Requesting spiny lobster landings data (verbal report)

The Chair suggested to members that this item had been addressed earlier in the meeting and unless they missed to discuss it further would continue to the next agenda item. Members agreed to move on.

Agenda Item 10 Any Other Business

The Chair went around the table. Cllr Hawkins inquired if he could have a meeting with officers soon to give him some extra detail on the work conducted by the B&PSC. ACO Mander confirmed that a meeting can be arranged shortly.

DCO Clark asked members to study a chart that had been prepared for members that related to a trial seaweed farm. DCO Clark then reported on its recent development including the outcome of some recent meetings with the industry. DCO Clark informed members that D&S IFCA had responded to the consultation relating to the placement of the site (Marine Planning) and had raised concerns because of the known use of this area by mobile fishing vessels. DCO Clark explained that the site was approximately 300 metres long by 50 metres wide which contained a buoyant pontoon, floating 5 metres below the surface. David Morgan commented that the chains and anchoring arrangement would more than likely extend well beyond the area marked on the chart, further hampering the activities of mobile fishing vessels. ACO Mander informed members that Vessel Monitoring Systems (data) will be of use in future in Marine Planning and consultations related to them.

ACO Mander took the opportunity to remind members that there would be a naming ceremony of the Authority's new patrol vessel on 14th March. The vessel would be named David Rowe in memory of the former Authority member and long serving Chairman of the B&PSC.

Agenda Item 11 Date of Next Meeting

Meeting dates have been set as follows and will be held at Larkbeare House, Exeter unless re-arranged.

- Thursday 16th May 2019
- Thursday 15th August 2019
- Thursday 14th November 2019

End.